



ETHICAL PRINCIPLES OF PUBLISHING¹

Editors

About the acceptance and inclusion of article for publishing decides the editorial board of the journal. The editors accept the philosophy and strategy of the journal and are entitled after a consultation with the editorial board and peer reviewers to refuse articles showing the elements of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation or insults. Editors evaluate manuscripts without any personal involvement in view of the author and/or institution. Editors must maintain the confidentiality of information relating to the received and evaluated manuscripts except to the author towards to all other persons in particular to the reviewers, editorial board members and also to the publisher, Without a written permission the editors cannot cite the author, cannot use the material from an unpublished manuscript for his own work or someone else's work, and unless they are working on drafting of the text, the editors cannot circulate the copies of the manuscript. Editors should reject the manuscript, in which they find a conflict of interest. Peer review must not be commercially sponsored. If there is not a selected part/section of a journal reviewed, it must be clearly defined. Reporters should report violations of ethical principles of publishing to the Ethics Committee and relevant institutions – each reported unethical publishing should be examined even in offset of several years. The editor-in-chief and editorial board, who have in the area full independence are responsible for the scientific level of quality of the journal and observance of ethical rules of publishing. In case of unequivocal reasons their opinions may differ from the opinions of reviewers.

Reviewers

In the frame of peer review is the article considered from the position of expert equipollent (peer) to the author with the aim the presented work to improve. The review process creates the preconditions for the success of the journal and its recognition by the professional community. Reviewers are helping to editors in deciding of publishing the manuscript and together with the authors are involved in improving of the quality of contributions. If the reviewer feels unqualified to evaluate research presented in the manuscript, or in case, that due to the time restrictions is unable to implement the review, the reviewer shall notify the executive editor and shall apologize from the review process. The submitted manuscript reviewers see as confidential information in relation to the person who submitted the text and that inclusive of copyright and copyright law. Reviewers objectively evaluate the submitted work, personal criticism of the author is inappropriate, they express their opinions clearly and precisely with the argument. Each claim, derivation, argumentation, which has already been published, must be consistently cited by the author. Reviewers should identify in the manuscript already published work, which is not cited by the author, and should report the findings to the editor. Without a written permission the reviewers must not from the manuscript cite the author, cannot use the material from an unpublished manuscript for their

¹ zdroj: WAME, Publication Ethics Policies for Medical Journals, November 3, 2007

own work or someone else's work, and must not circulate the copies of the manuscript. If the reviewer wants to consult the manuscript with other professionals, he must inform the editor.

Authors

The authors shall respect the originality of the research communication - they shall undertake, that the processed documents submitted in this form were not accepted for publication in any other print journal and they eliminate duplicate publication (republishing). They adhere to the maximum accuracy of scientific and technical knowledge and at the same time are respecting the protection of intellectual property and copyrights. They present exact relevant data in the manuscript; they lead an objective discussion to the significance of the work, and are providing the necessary details and links. It is not permitted to disclose in the manuscript text or any part of the research results of any other work without accurate and clear indication of the original source and author. Circumscribing passages the manuscript will classify into forgeries – plagiarism. Plagiarism is considered as unethical behaviour and is not acceptable. Authors are responsible for ensuring that in their manuscripts are no information fabricated, false or previously published. Inaccurate and false claims are considered to be unethical conduct, and are not acceptable by the redaction. The original data used as the base for work must be available to the redaction even after publication for possible revision. Authors should publish a manuscript describing substantially the same research only in one journal or primary publication. Submission of the same manuscript simultaneously to multiple redactions is considered unethical and is not accepted. Authors must not send the redaction already published manuscript. Exceptionally is acceptable secondary publication of certain article types such as translations, but always with the consent of the authors and editors should reflect the same data and interpret the primary document, including the original citation. Author must in his work properly cite sources; information obtained personally must not be used at work without the explicit written consent of origin. The authorship of the document is limited to those who are significantly involved in the processing of the published studies as shall include all persons who significantly contributed as co-authors. If there were another people involved in, such a research project, they should obtain expressed gratitude. All experimental work must meet the basic ethical recommendations according to the Declaration of Helsinki (2002). In the manuscript the author must note that the study was approved before the local ethics committee, including informed consent involving human subjects. The right to privacy must be respected. All authors are required to indicate possible sources of funding related to the manuscript sent and to potential conflict of interest. If the author finds significant errors in his published work it is his responsibility to inform the redaction and work on repairs or download of the article. If an editor finds, on the basis of external information, that the published work contains significant errors, it is the author's responsibility to promptly correct or download article or add editorial evidence of the accuracy of the original work.